

## JCCPG Meeting (15-17 Nov 2011) Critique/Survey RESULTS

**Summary:** The majority of the 25 responses received for Q's 1-7 were 4 & 5s. Comments of note were: JCCPG provides value of process, networking & face-to-face connections, info-sharing, and COMCAM overview. Face-to-face noted as a must in building relationships and trust. Also of value were comparing notes and seeing what others in the community are doing. Several participants considered the international participation (Swedish COMCAM) "a big plus" and desired more. Participants found value in the updates on VI policy, procedures and operations. A participant noted that there was no actual planning and a desire to make the JCCPG an active "plans and action group" for future meetings.

### SURVEY

On a scale of 1-5 (1 being the lowest, 5 the highest) rate the following:

1. Was this JCCPG meeting helpful to you and your organization? (Why or Why not?)

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
(Responses)								
	17	7	1			0		0

2. DVI UPDATE (COL Bethea)

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	11	11	1			0		0

3. JCCPG Update (LCDR Houser)

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	15	9	0			0		0

4. DIMOC OPS (LT Soltes)

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	12	9	3			0		0

5. COCOM and Service briefings

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	12	9	2			0		0

6. COMCAM Unit briefings

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	15	5	3			0		0

7. "Eye on VI" (Newsletter for VI community)

5	----	4	----	3	----	2	----	1
	9	9	1			1		0

### JCCPG Survey COMMENTS

1. New Info

2. Find out points of contact for different organizations
3. Learned process for me to better our organizational process
4. Networking face to face
5. Very good overview of how all the COMCAMS are doing business
6. Connecting face to face with sister units and EAC issues and Info exposure
7. To know what other combat camera organizations are doing
8. Help to put faces to names and create new contacts
9. Yes, meeting and talking face to face is a must. Cannot be done by VTC. Relationships and trust
10. Identified some key POC degradation at the COMCAM level. This is a huge risk to mission accomplishment
11. Gives a wider perspective. SME exchange was excellent!!!
12. Mostly for Situational awareness. Secondly for the individual face to face meetings- especially with the COMCOM reps.
13. Identified additional POCs with other services so I can use this in my future plans/ work and communications
14. So important to network/ communicate/ meet face-to-face with various counterparts in the joint COMCAM community
15. Great benefit as the new guy to meet and greet with the services
16. Very helpful! As a COMCAM reserve unit it gives us visibility and knowledge on COMCAM procedures and activities
17. No actual planning was accomplished at the JCCPG
18. Got an opportunity to engage with COMCAM leadership across the services on a one-on-one basis
19. Solid topics, good networking, CDR request for brief presentations kind of ignored.

## **JCCPG Survey Comments (Question 8)**

### **8. What, if any, other issues/subjects should be covered at the JCCPG meetings?**

1. Brief Lessons Learned System. Joint and Point to service. Message Traffic announcing and requesting/ requiring COCOM and Service Representation. Explore VTC/ DCO possible options in the future.
2. I think we covered everything; we need to ensure that as DOD communicators we don't air dirty laundry in what is intended to be a positive learning environment.
3. Judge Graham needs to be present.
4. What is the status of continuity process/books to ensure we have RFF plug-ins/ contingency op procedures?
5. SME from other country. This is an eye opener. Very good!
6. Nice to get updates on Services, COCOM, and DMA/DVI/DIMOC
7. As standardized view of COMCAM elements to be able to identify differences in order to fix problems and share successes. I.e. book of stills kit contents compared side by side or personnel availability by location.

8. This was my first JCCPG and the format seemed to be more “information” than “planning”. My service had this format for years and I felt it served no purpose to do this every time. Would like to see “Action Item” (as a gain experience, I will be able to identify) that affect VI/COMCAM/PA in joint area to be discussed/ worked.
9. Would like more specifics/ guidance on way ahead for DVIDS (confusing)
10. Though difficult to air dirty laundry, services should be frank with COCOMS on support requirements.
11. Annex development
12. They are covering most- Procedures for acquiring teams, networking
13. How to better support across service requirements
14. Joint training ops.
15. Way ahead and collaborations
16. Exercises, RFF/ FTN breakout groups
17. Continued FTN/RFF coordination and scrub
18. More communication between the services

## **JCCPG Survey Comments (Question 9)**

### **1. Please share any other suggestions or feedback for improving the JCCPG.**

#### **Additional COMMENTS:**

1. Limited time for discussion. Look at taking an action item while all services and COCOMs are together. Joint Universal needs for transmission. May require additional time. Look at other action items- School, doctrine, policy, etc.
2. We need to do this twice a year.
3. Thank you. Good job.
4. Need to look at rolling up our sleeves and talking an issue (i.e. UJTL)
5. Most Information is from a macro view. It would be helpful to see both a macro and micro perspective on all issues.
6. Solicit and create agenda items for services to discuss. These items sent out prior so personnel can read up and solicit their service for input.
7. Recommend different seating arrangement if possible. Room with tables seemed much better- more comfortable, less awkward.
8. Possibly field everyone for discussion topics ahead of conference.
9. More foreign COMCAM!
10. The planning group should be just that. The JCCPG should start with specific agenda items that the services take action on during the JCCPG. Three days of briefs gets old and nothing is accomplished.
11. N/A I enjoyed it
12. Very pleased with content of subject matter briefed